UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,372	09/14/2005	Bart Van Rompaey	FR030029	3469
24737 7590 03/04/2009 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001			EXAMINER	
			SASINOWSKI, ANDREW	
BKIAKCLIFF	BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/549,372	VAN ROMPAEY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ANDREW J. SASINOWSKI	2627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 I</u>	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examin	awn from consideration.  for election requirement.  ner.		
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 14 September 2005 is  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct the oath or declaration is objected to by the E	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicat ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	ate	

Art Unit: 2627

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 4 and 8 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshimoto et. al. [US 6,526,019] in view of Moribe et. al. [US 5,661,703].

Regarding claim 1, Yoshimoto teaches:

- a data carrier [abstract] comprising a first area [claim 1, note nonrewritable area]
- a second area comprising a rewritable material [claim 1, see rewritable area],
- said first area being defined as a read-only area by means of type
  information recorded on said data carrier in a type area which is different
  from said first area [claim 1, note structure management table in
  predetermined part of disk].

Yoshimoto does not teach:

type information recorded on said data carrier in an inerasable way
 Moribe does teach:

Art Unit: 2627

type information recorded on said data carrier in an inerasable way

[abstract]

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one with ordinary skill in the art to combine the data carrier taught by Yoshimoto with the inerasable writing taught by Moribe because the inerasable mark could be used to prevent illegal copy of the data carrier [Moribe, abstract]

Regarding claim 2, Yoshimoto also teaches:

Wherein said first area and said second area are being parts of a same
 layer of said data carrier [claim 1, note that each layer has both 1st and
 2<sup>nd</sup> areas]

Regarding claim 3, Moribe teaches:

 said data carrier comprising a central part [fig. 9], the first area being nearer to said central part than the second area [fig. 9, S1 and S2].

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one with ordinary skill in the art to combine the data carrier taught by Yoshimoto with the first and second area locations taught by Moribe because the inside area could be used to unerasably record a unique medium identification code [Moribe, claim 20]

Regarding claim 4, Moribe teaches:

said type information recorded by means of pits and lands [col. 13, line
 491.

It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to one with ordinary skill in the art to combine the data carrier taught by Yoshimoto with the pits and lands taught by Moribe because pre-stamped pits and lands cannot be erased by means of laser rewriting.

Regarding claims 8-10, Yoshimoto also teaches:

- wherein the type information include location of the first area [col. 2, lines
   23-25, where the first area would be the target sector].
- wherein the type information include type and location of the first area and the second area [where the target sector would be the desired type and location].
- wherein an area of the data carrier having no associated type information in the type area comprises a rewritable area [col. 5, lines 52 56, note that since all of the type area section could be rewritten with permission, part of the type area without specific designation could also be rewritten].
- 1. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshimoto in view of Moribe in view of Shigemori [US 6,125,089].

Regarding claim 5, Yoshimoto in view of Moribe teaches

Art Unit: 2627

The data carrier as in claim 1 that contains type information

However, Yoshimoto Moribe does not teach

wherein type information is recorded by means of a frequency modulated

wobble.

Shigemori teaches

• a data carrier wherein information is recorded by means of a frequency

modulated wobble [col. 1, line 22].

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to one with ordinary skill in the art to

combine the data carrier taught by Yoshimoto in view of Moribe with the means of

recording by frequency modulation wobble with the data carrier taught by Shigemori

because it is well known in the art that optical disks recorded using FM wobble can be

later demodulated to obtain time codes for each sector on the optical disk [Shigemori,

col. 1, line 23]

Regarding claim 6, Shigemori teaches:

• a rewritable Compact Disc [col. 1, line 17] wherein the type information of

the lead-in area of the optical disk encoded as Absolute Time in Pre-

groove data [col. 1, line 31].

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to one with ordinary skill in the art to

combine the method of recording data in the lead-in area of the optical disk encoded as

Absolute Time In Pre-groove data taught by Shigemori with the Compact Disk with type

information taught by Yoshimoto in view of Moribe because the Absolute Time in Pre-

Art Unit: 2627

Groove area is used to encode many types of data including synchronization data [Shigemori, col. 1, line 36].

2. Claim 7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshimoto in view of Moribe in view of Shigemori, as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Endoh [US 7,280,461].

Yoshimoto in view of Moribe in view of Shigemori does not teach:

 wherein type information is encoded as Permanent Information and control data.

Endoh teaches:

 wherein type information is recorded by mean of frequency modulated wobble [col. 16. line 44], and information is encoded as Permanent Information and control data [col.16, line 40].

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to one with ordinary skill in the art to combine disc wherein type information is recorded by means of frequency modulated wobble and information is encoded as Permanent Information and control data taught by Endoh with the data carrier taught by Yoshimoto in view of Moribe in view of Shigemori because the several types of data can be coded as Permanent Information and Control data, such as Table of Contents data [Endoh, col. 3. line 51 - 52]

Art Unit: 2627

3. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshimoto in view of Moribe as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Willis [2004/0044567]

Yoshimoto in view of Moribe do not teach:

wherein content of the read-only area includes an advertisement.

Willis teaches:

wherein content of the read-only area includes an advertisement [§0052,
 note advertisements are stored on a read-only memory.]

It would have been obvious at the time of invention to one with ordinary skill in the art to combine the data carrier taught by Yoshimoto in view of Moribe with read-only advertisements taught by Willis because other information (such as medium reading/writing parameters) could be stored in the read-only area.

## Response to Arguments

- 4. Examiner acknowledges the amendment to claim 1, as well as the addition of claims 8 11.
- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANDREW J. SASINOWSKI whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 2627

(571)270-5883. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 7:30 to 5:00, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on (571)272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AJS

/HOA T NGUYEN/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2627